





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,072	02/27/2002		Kiichi Yatani		5743	
:	7590	07/11/2003				
Kiichi Yatani			EXAMINER			
11-24 Honden-1 Nishiku Oasaka,				MCDERMO	MCDERMOTT, KEVIN	
JAPAN	JAPAN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3635		
				DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	•						
	Office Action Summany	10/084,072	YATANI, KIICHI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and	McDermott, Kevin	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/084,072

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The informal drawings are not of sufficient quality to permit examination.

Accordingly, new drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

Applicant is given a TWO MONTH time period to submit new drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.81. Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely submit new drawings will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application.

The drawings are objected to because:

- a. Figure 2 appears to also contain a smaller version of figure 4.
- b. Examiner is not able to identify the labeled details in figure 2.
- c. Figure 4 appears to also contain figure 7~1.
- d. A figure 2-A is provided, but there is no description in the specification.
- e. Figure 10 is a black area.
- f. A second figure 7~1 is shown on page 7 of the drawings.
- g. Page 7 of the drawings has three figures, but only two figure labels.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

A substitute specification including the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the specification does not appear to fully explain the invention. The

specification also discusses figures that are not in the drawings. For example, page 4 of the specification discusses figures 9 and 11, but they are not included in the drawings.

A substitute specification filed under 37 CFR 1.125(a) must only contain subject matter from the original specification and any previously entered amendment under 37 CFR 1.121. If the substitute specification contains additional subject matter not of record, the substitute specification must be filed under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and must be accompanied by: 1) a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter; and 2) a marked-up copy showing the amendments to be made via the substitute specification relative to the specification at the time the substitute specification is filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. For example, claim 1 claims a means of interposing two types of pluralities of steel balls and a means of arranging one type of balls, but the specification does not discuss this material.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,072 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The disclosure does not fully explain all aspects of the invention. For example, page 8, lines 7-16, vaguely discuss a concrete covering all the surface of top and bottom plates, and page 9, lines 5-11, vaguely discuss a hoop 1 that is put on the foundation.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 2, lines 3-9 do not appear to make sense. Examiner simply does not understand the claim. Line 3 discusses a means of moving the structural column then line 5 appears to discuss something completely different by reciting "thereby". Lines 7-9 then discuss isolating earthquake motion and stopping the free movement.

Regarding claim 3, lines 3-6 do not appear to make sense. Examiner does not understand the foundation hoop.

Claim Objections

Claims 1 objected to because of the following informalities:

a. Claim 1, line 6 recites "oppositing".

Art Unit: 3635

- b. Claim 1, line 20 recites "confrictionless"
- c. Claim 1, line 27 recites "constructure".
- d. Claim 1, line 13 uses parentheses around "less accuracy".
- e. Claim 2, line 7 uses parentheses around "energy generated".

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

The claims, as best understood, appear to be drawn to allowable subject matter.

A final determination will be made upon review of a response to this Office Action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Kevin McDermott, whose telephone number is 703-308-8266.

KM 7/02/03

Carl D. Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600